

Risk-Specific Garbing Procedures for Non-Human Primate Facilities

University of Pittsburgh Department of Environmental Health & Safety

Katy Board, Heather Peffer, Molly Stitt-Fischer, and Jay Frerotte

Garbing procedures for Non-Human Primate (NHP) Facilities prior to April 2011

- Driven by the highest risk procedure in NHP Facility
- Within one NHP behavioral area this included:
 - Liquid barrier coverall suit
 - o Gloves
 - Multiple shoe covers
 - Surgical masks
 - Safety goggles or glasses
 - Hair bonnet





April 2011: Non-Human Primate Associated Injuries Among Behavioral Researchers





April 2011: Non-Human Primate Injuries

Non-Human Primate Injuries By Length of Employment





Prior to April 2011

Garbing requirements driven by the highest risk procedure in the Non-Human Primate facility

Pros:

• Simplified training and compliance

Cons:

- Investigator perceived challenges (no flexibility to account for different facilities or types of procedures)
- Less opportunity to implement preferred Personal Protective Equipment (e.g. reusable lab coats vs. disposable)
- May contribute to reduced contact between mentors/supervisors and research staff

Most senior staff expressed the sentiment that there is no substitute for hands-on, supervised experience under a mentor.



Risk Assessment Relating to Garbing Procedures: Methods

- Comparison of procedure-specific risk assessment and minimum PPE required by outside programs.
- Comparison of outside programs to current procedures performed.
- Newly developed PPE recommendations.





Risk Assessment

- High Risk: Procedures that aerosolize NHP wastes/fluids or generate potentially contaminated fluids at either high velocity or high volume
 - Hosing down caging and restraint equipment
 - Work in animal holding rooms
 - Direct contact with unrestrained animals
 - Use of drills (dental or surgical)





Risk Assessment

- Moderate Risk: Any situation/procedure that requires working with a restrained NHP
 - Chairing a non-human primate
 - Feeding or giving treats
 - Minor procedures (phlebotomy, physical exam, etc.)
 - Providing surgical assistance





Risk Assessment

- Low Risk: Any situation/activity in which a restrained NHP may pass briefly with no direct contact
 - Walking in facility hallways
 - Working in a separate room from non-human primates
 - Working in the same room but more than 5 feet away from <u>restrained</u> non-human primates





Risk Assessment and Corresponding Garb

Low Risk

Any situation/activity in which a restrained NHP may pass briefly with no direct contact





For Example....

- NHP Behavioral testing
- Data analysis in a separate room from non-human primate testing



Risk Assessment and Corresponding Garb

Moderate Risk

Situations/procedures working directly with NHPs restrained in a cage or NHP chair, or minor nonsurgical procedures with anesthetized animals









For Example....

- Chairing
- Treat feeding





Corresponding Garb

High Risk

Procedures that aerosolize NHP wastes/fluids or generate potentially contaminated fluids at either high velocity or high volume

For Example....

Hosing down cages and restraint equipment





Observations: Positive Indicators

- + Animal handlers tended to wear more than minimum required Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)
- + PPE was readily available
- + Researchers and Animal Care Workers indicated a more frequent presence of senior staff



Observations: Areas for Improvement

- PPE choices were often dependent on the "personality" of the non-human primate being manipulated; NHP personality traits were not documented
- Certain types of PPE cause adverse NHP reactions
- Non-human primates dislodge face shields
- Conditions in non-human primate housing and testing rooms lead to unpredictably slippery floors



Recommendations based on Observations

- Bite-resistant gloves when working with lightly anesthetized animals
- A record keeping system to identify escalated risks and aggressive animals
- Slip-resistant shoe covers
- Size-appropriate PPE
- Safety goggles instead of face shields to minimize dislodging





http://wakefieldbrush.com/technician.php





Training Courses developed as a result of the information learned from this and other University NHP Safety initiatives:

- **1. Non-Human Primate User Safety Training**
 - Required annually for all individuals who come in contact with non-human primates (PI, researcher, veterinarian, animal care)
 - Discussion-style training

2. Risk-Specific Garbing Training

Risk-assessment based



Non-Human Primate User Safety Training





Discussion style: "What's Wrong With this Picture?"



Risk-Specific Garbing Training

- How to assess risk associated with tasks
 - o Aerosols
 - Proximity to NHP
 - Contact with contaminated surfaces
- Connecting the level of risk with appropriate PPE
 - o Low/Moderate/High
- A reminder to reassess risk
 - Anticipated tasks vs. tasks actually being performed
 - Additional risks associated with work
 - Unanticipated biological hazards
- Mnemonic C.L.E.A.R.



Risk-Specific Garbing Training

Consider the work that will be performed

_evel of Risk

Equip yourself with the appropriate PPE

Assess ongoing tasks inside the Animal Facility

Re-evaluate choice of personal protective equipment



Conclusions

Enhanced Communication

- Opened a dialog between animal care staff and EH&S
- Safety tips are shared between facilities by EH&S

• Enhanced Understanding

- Increased situational awareness
- o Increased ability to identify risk

Enhanced Satisfaction

- o Increase in mentorship by senior staff
- Perceived increase in senior staff presence within the animal facility
- Enhanced Safety



Conclusions: Enhanced Safety



* Two injuries in 2011 occurred prior to the implementation of the risk-specific garbing procedures in April



Acknowledgements

Peter Strick, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor & Chair of Neurobiology Co-Director, Center for the Neural Basis of Cognition Professor, Department of Psychiatry

Researchers and Animal Care Staff – Systems Neuroscience Institute

Jay Frerotte, MBA, Director, University of Pittsburgh EH&S Molly Stitt-Fischer, Ph.D., CPH, Biosafety Officer, University of Pittsburgh EH&S Mark DiNardo, CSP, University of Pittsburgh EH&S

Thank you for your time!

