Sustaining International Biorisk

Management Best Practices Following a Comprehensive Biosafety and Biosecurity Training Session

Lora Grainger

Sandia National Laboratories International Biological Threat Reduction October 23, 2012







Introduction

- Background Information
 - Controlling Biorisks Course
- International BRM Training CBR Follow-up Strategy
 - Objectives
 - Project Outline
- Example Projects
 - Action in Risk Assessment
 - Mitigation Implementation
- Lessons Learned
- Outcomes
- Next Steps







- An introductory Biorisk Management Course offered by Sandia National Laboratories, International Biological Threat Reduction department
- 2010 2011 CBR Trained 55 Participants from Afghanistan, Iraq, Yemen, Jordan, Pakistan, Japan, Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania, South Africa, Denmark and the USA
- The focus of CBR is to provide a broad understanding of biorisk management best practices in the areas of biorisk assessment, mitigation and performance.







CBR Follow-up Strategy

Mentorship

Build upon in-country relationships Apply BRM in a country or situation specific way Utilize experts in the field Someone to answer questions and work through problems Professional development Trust and community

CBR Course

Country and Regional Engagements







CBR Follow-up Project Objectives



The CBR Follow-up Project is designed to provide support for implementing biorisk management solutions at the participants' home institutions.



10 participants were selected from previous CBR courses

Action

The participants were guided through the process of performing a risk assessment and subsequent risk mitigation plan for their proposed project.

Sustainability

Participants were not provided with any additional resources, besides mentorship and an opportunity to present their work at an international biosafety conference.







Project Outline













Action in Risk Assessment

Comparative

Using current risk assessment data to influence mitigation measures in a proposed bioscience building

Perceptual

Using a survey developed to assess peoples perceptions about BRM in a facility to identify needs. Assayed attitudes about a particular BRM process.

Outcomes

Locally addressed unique approaches to identify BRM needs

Deliverables

Data sets. Practice using BioRAM in new contexts. Functional SOPs in place. Improved biosafety and biosecurity

<u>Strategic</u>

Using risk assessment data in a to develop an incident response plan. Also to influence future, role specific, training needs

<u>Working</u>

Assessing SOP development needs and drafting SOPs. SOP Rubric. Assaying communication challenges during a BRM program. Identifying current disinfection/decontamination needs







Mitigation Implementation

<u>Training</u>

Role specific from perceptual survey. Topic specific – disinfection, access controls, shipping. Developed trainers from other groups now have tools from which to apply their skills

<u>SOPs</u>

Functional set added into a larger group (Kenya and Uganda) training strategies. Access control process

<u>Outcomes</u>

Practice using DATA to influence mitigation measures

Deliverables

Locally specific mitigation measures in place. Included performance metrics from the start.

<u>Administrative</u> <u>Controls</u>

Risk assessment used to influence policy – strategic planning (building) for a facility based on current procedures happening in the laboratory.

Improved Risk & BRM Communication

Among departments, regulatory bodies and with management and leadership within a facility







Lessons Learned

- An applied, robust, reproducible, and meaningful risk assessment is HARD.
 - How to get data, how to ask the right questions, sensitivities – why are you collecting data, what to do with all the data, how to analyze it, how to translate it into suggested mitigation strategies.
- Mentorship projects take a lot of time
 - Mentors and the participants
- Communication is key
- Flexibility is a must









Created a foundation for future biosafety and biosecurity improvements

Trained, qualified, experienced, and confident individuals who can, on their own, promote biorisk management best practices.

Broader context - Created a network to build upon individual goals with existing projects

- University setting perceptual survey positive dual use
- Shipping training with integrated SOP training
- Trainer development relevant topics
- Professional development in hand strategic project plans, international conference







•**Pilot project** – We want to know how we did. Student's perspective, tangible deliverables – assign numbers. Develop and describe strategy.

•Measured success – Show that participants who took part in both the CBR course and the follow-up mentorship program have had more measured success at achieving their biorisk management goals.







Acknowledgements

The Participants

- Cecilia Rumberia Kenya
- Ihsan Al-Sagur Iraq
- Joseph Nkodyo Uganda
- Emad Khammas Iraq
- Samuel Majalija Uganda
- Andrew Muruka Kenya
- Rawan Khasawneh Jordan
- Deo Ndumu Uganda
- Joseph Bukusuba Uganda

Sandia National Laboratories

- Thamer Imran
- Susan Boggs
- Jennifer Gaudioso
- LouAnn Burnett
- Eric Cook

Biosecurity Engagement Program



