### Abstract:

Thermal batch EDS technology is by far the most commonly specified equipment for biocontainment facilities. This technology is the most expensive to acquire, most expensive to maintain, and requires dramatically more energy and cooling resources to operate. Options are available that are less expensive to acquire and operate including Chemical, Thermo-Chemical, and Thermal Continuous Flow EDS equipment yielding better than 95% reduction in energy consumption and much lower cost of operation.

Thermal Batch EDS Steam and Cooling Water Equivalent Consumption (2 hour cycle)				Thermal Continuous EDS Steam an Cooling Water Equivalent Consum			
(gallons)	degrees in 1 hour (pounds)	70 degree F Cooling water used (gallons)		equivalent Continuous	280 degree F Continuous flow EDS in gallons per	Steam required (pounds) with 90 percent energy recovery	Coc wat equ
100							
250				100			0
500				250			25
750 1000				500 750			50 75
2000				1000			
4000				2000			
				4000	33.3	40	0
<b>— —</b>	<image/>	<section-header></section-header>		<section-header></section-header>			
equivalent, c	e, 4 gpm conti apital cost \$60	0,000, uses >20>	 S E	ystem abov equivalent, c	e, 10 gpm apital cos	continu st \$600,00	ουs )0, ι



more energy than the system at the right

## **EFFLUENT DECONTAMINATION SYSTEMS (EDS); CONSIDERING ENERGY EFFICIENT ALTERNATIVES TO CONVENTIONAL BATCH KILL TANK SYSTEMS By Joseph H. Wilson, CEO, Bio-Response Solutions, Inc.**

# nption

oling	
ater	
uivalent	
quired	
	0
	0
	0
	0
	0

#### inuous flow grees F



uses < 5%of the energy of the system at the left

Introduction: This presentation is prepared to inform facility designers, engineers, and owners responsible for EDS technology selection, that other options are available that use substantially less energy (heating and cooling), some of which are less costly to acquire. This poster outlines some of the options that are available, and gives some comparison numbers for acquisition and operation of these systems.

# Some Other Options:

**Continuous flow chemical EDS with** neutralization, 120 gallons per hour, \$60,000 capital cost, \$2 per hour ops cost



Batch Chemical EDS, 300 gallons per hour, \$190,000 capital cost, \$5 per hour ops cost



### **Conclusion:** In comparison to batch thermal EDS technology, the data are beyond compelling to consider continuous flow thermal technology for a number of reasons. We all have a responsibility to find alternatives to high energy consumption options, and there are many other pleasant upsides too numerous to mention in this poster.

Any system shown here is suitable for any BSL level including level 3, level 3-ag, and level 4 operations.