





External Centrifuge Incidents

- Tufts University 2006
 - Botulinum toxin exposure
 - Broken tube
 - OSHA violation
 - Emergency procedures
 Training
 - ♦ PPE

- University Texas
 2006
 - Genetic cross of H5N1 & H3N2
 - Cracked cap leaked fluid into rotor and bowl
 - Centrifuge in open lab
 - BSL-3 w/ respiratory PPE
 - Postdoc placed on Tamiflu





CAUSES OF ROTOR FAILURES

Six Causes of Rotor Failure

Incorrect Loading or Balancing
 Incorrect Attachment
 Consumable Failure/Biocontainment
 Overloading
 Corrosion
 Fatigue



Rotor Failure Cause Ensuring Proper Attachment

Attachment to Centrifuge

- Superspeed rotors must be locked down to centrifuge drive by tightening the lock knob
- Low speed rotors must be fastened by locking pin
- General purpose, small benchtop, and micro-centrifuges must be fastened by locking nut or other mechanism as specified by manufacturer



2

Always double check that your rotor is locked down before pressing start !

Rotor Failure Cause

Ensuring Proper Attachment

Swinging Bucket Rotors

- Buckets must be placed properly on the swinging arms
- Swinging bucket rotors must have all buckets in place
- Buckets should be cleaned and lubricated regularly to ensure a free swing out during the run



For swinging bucket rotors, gently swing out each bucket to ensure a free easy motion. Always double check before pressing start

Ensuring Proper Attachment



A Thermo plate carrier was used with a Beckman yoke. The clearance seemed OK, until the centrifuge accelerated. The metal components flexed enough to force the carrier off the swing pins, into the chamber armor.

The centrifuge was destroyed, costing >\$38,000. And a spill of pathogen occurred.

Ensuring Proper Attachment



A difference of +0.8 cm resulted in a destroyed centrifuge. DO NOT MIX COMPONENTS BETWEEN MANUFACTURES!!!!

Rotor Failure Cause







Swinging bucket rotor failed while spinning human blood. Bucket and trunnion chunk lifted the lid, spewing blood around the lab.

Rotor Failure Cause

Preventing Consumable Failure/ Biocontainment

Preventing Consumable Failure

- Ensure you are not exceeding the maximum rated speed by the manufacturer
- Ensure consumable is chemically compatible with your sample
- Make sure you have all the parts and use according to the directions
- Know the history of shared consumables
- Inspect before every use and do not use if signs of wear are apparent
- Implement replacement program consumables should be replaced regularly
- If in doubt contact manufacturer and verify proper use

Biocontainment

- Biocontainment Certified* Lids for Swinging Buckets to enclose any kind of tubes
- Individual Biocontainment Certified* Tube Enclosures would provide double enclosure under the Biocontainment Certified Lids
- Biocontainment Certified* Rotor Lids for Fixed Angle Rotors

*Biocontainment certification by CAMR in Porton Down, UK

Preventing Consumable Failure/ Biocontainment

Rotor Failure Cause



- Seal O-ring (if needed) must be present and in good condition
- Proper Tube Material & Chemical Resistance
 - Polypropylene

 - PolyethylenePolycarbonate







- Surface corrosion caused by long term exposure to moisture or chemicals
- Stress corrosion surface corrosion that is allowed to propagate until microcracks are formed deep into the structure of the rotor
- How to prevent surface corrosion:
 - Clean rotors between every use
 - Thoroughly dry rotors between uses
 - Remove adapters between runs
 - Never use metallic objects to open or remove parts
 - Always use cleaning, disinfection and sterilization agents that are chemically compatible with the rotor

If your application is corrosive consider an alternative rotor material such as carbon fiber!

Preventing Corrosion



 Rotor used with improper tubes, closures and high salt solution

Rotor Failure Cause

Rotor Failure Cause

- Tubes are frozen into the rotor
- This rotor is a total loss!

Preventing Fatigue

- Rotors are exposed to high g-forces and do wear out
- The molecular structure of the rotor changes as the metal elongates repeatedly – eventually they will fail!
 - Ultraspeed rotors have a recommended use and retirement span rated by the number of cycles or hours used
 - Superspeed rotors are warranted for 10 years and should be considered for retirement even if they are in good condition
 - All other rotors the guidelines vary and should be verified before use
 - All rotors need to be retired even when they appear to be in good appearance – metallic parts fatigue over repeated uses with no visible signs of wear





Prevent	ing F	atigue	e		
	Centrifuge 5	804/5804 R/5810)/5810 R	- Operating	manual
2101		Rotor	Maximum s	ervice from commission	ing onward
0 2 1		A-2-DWP-AT	100,000 med	hanical cycles	7 years
\odot		A-2-DWP	34,000 mech	anical cycles	7 years
		A-4-44	34.000 mech	anical cycles	7 years
	\subset	A-4-62	40,000 mech	anical cycles	7 years
This is the rotor		A-4-81	100,000 mer	hanical cycles	7 years
manufactura data		F-34-6-38	75,000 mech	anical cycles	7 years
manufacture date.		FA-45-6-30			7 years
		FA-45-48-11	75,000 mech	anical cycles	7 years
According to table the		FA-45-20-17	75,000 mech	anical cycles	7 years
		F 35 48 17	75,000 most	anisal systes	7 years
rotor was beyond useful		S-4-72	60,000 mech	anical cycles	7 years
life after 2008.		S-4-104	100,000 med	hanical cycles	7 years
		T-60-11			7 years
		Accessories		Maximum service from	commissioning onward
		Aerosol-tight rotor lid, without seals	t replaceable	50 autoclaving cycles	-
		Rotor lid QuickLock			3 years
		Seals of the QuickLock rotor	lid	50 autoclaving cycles	-
		Rotor lid and caps made of p (PC), polypropylene (PP) or p (PEI)	olycarbonate olyetherimide	50 autoclaving cycles	3 years
		Adapters		-	1 year

Summary – Be Smart, Be Proactive!

- Improve the life of your rotor and prevent damaging failures:
 - Balance properly
 - Attaching rotor and parts correctly
 - Proactively managing consumable use
 - Never overload
 - Prevent corrosion with best lab practices
 - Retire rotors



Rotor Failure Cause

6

Improve your lab experience by preventing messy spills or catastrophic accidents that cause potential exposures, significant repair costs, and loss of your precious samples!

<section-header>

Risk Assessment

Experiment 8: centrifuge spill without rotor seal in place An outdated Sorvall GSA rotor had its 'O' ring seal removed and had 10 ml of a 5×10^9 spore suspension gently pipetted into the rotor chamber. The rotor was accelerated to 4000 rev min⁻¹ in a RC5B centrifuge, braked and the centrifuge lid opened while the air samplers were operated.

Experiment 9: unsealed bucket on a swing-out centrifuge rotor

A set of sealed rectangular centrifuge buckets with screw down lids was tested to find out if they generated microbial aerosols. It was found that these buckets were contained when the bucket seal was in place and applied with silicone grease supplied by the manufacturer. However, aerosols were generated when the seal was not in place. In experiment 9a the bucket contained two overfilled Falcon centrifuge tubes containing a $9 \times$ 10° spore ml⁻¹ suspension and in experiment 9b a 50 ml spill of the same suspension was 'rolled' around inside the bucket so that some of the fluid would rest on the inside walls of the lid before centrifugation.

A. Bennett & S. Parks, Appl Micro 100 (2006) 658-663

	Accident	Casella (CFU m ⁻³)	Andersen (CFU m ^{−3})	Cyclone (CFU m ⁻³
1	Dropping a flask	173	643	1.03×10^{3}
2	Dropping a Thompson bottle	2.48×10^3	3.48×10^{3} *	1.37×10^4
3	15 ml spill	387	493†	2.07×10^{3}
4	Dropping three bottles	588	$1.06 \times 10^3 *$	3.98×10^{3}
5	Peristaltic pump	634	886	5.18×10^{3}
6	Syringe filter	3.70×10^{3}	3.43×10^{3} †	1.77×10^{4}
7	Fungal plate	>3·3 × 10 ³	1·34 × 10 ⁵ *	>1·56 × 10 ⁵
8	Centrifuge spill	>3·3 × 10 ³	1·71 × 10 ⁴ †	2·30 × 10 ⁴
9a	Centrifuge bucket	150	64	142
9b	Centrifuge bucket	3·00 × 10 ³	1·10 × 10 ³ *	1·50 × 10 ³
10	Bacterial plate	26.7	3.6	8.2
11	Orbital shaker	1.15×10^{3}	818*	871



Risk Assessment

Table 3 Spray factors obtained from accidents

Type of accident	SF (×10 ⁶) ml m ⁻³
Smashed flask (50 ml)	0.52
Smashed Thompson bottle (300 ml)	6.85
Spill of 15 ml	1.04
Three bottle drop	1.99
Blocked peristaltic pump	2.59
Blocked syringe filter	8·85
Centrifuge rotor spill	4.60
Centrifuge bucket spill	0.17
Shaking Incubator	1.28

SF, Spray factor.

Spray Factor, coupled with breathing rate and lab air changes can be used to estimate employee dose



Resources

- Manufacture's Instruction Manuals
- Rotor Logs for Derating
- Beckman Rotor Safety Guide
- Beckman Biosafe System Guide
- Eppendorf Aerosol-Tight Guide
- Thermo Rotor Care Guide
- ThermoLite Fiber Rotor Guide
- VWR Microcentrifugation Guide

