RISK ASSESSMENT AND FACILITY CONTAINMENT FOR RESEARCH WITH GENE DRIVE ARTHROPODS

Sheryl Major Biosafety Officer

<u>UC San Diego</u>

Proposed Research Project:

Researcher proposes to use gene drive tool in a live arthropod model



Ask yourself, is your arthropod...





What infrastructure do you need in your lab?

Life Support

• Temperature, Humidity, Life Cycles, Light Cycles

Handling

• Microinjections, Microscopy, Feeding, Euthanasia

Containment Needs

• Mobility, Survivability, Waste Disposal, Trapping

Gene Drive



Gene drives are systems that ensure biased inheritance by enhancing the likelihood a sequence of DNA passes between generations through sexual reproduction and potentially throughout an entire population.

ZFN, TALEN, and CRISPR/Cas-based methods for genome engineering. Gaj T Gersbach CA Barbas CF 3rd https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23664777



CRISPR/Cas9 as a Gene Drive

Molecular Containment



Efficient allelic-drive in *Drosophila* [https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-019-09694-w http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/]



What tools can I use for my risk assessment?

🚔 Print



Containment Facility Inspections

Last Modified: Jun 19, 2019

APHIS has developed guidelines for the containment of organisms. These guidelines vary depending upon the type of organism to be contained and the risk posed by those organisms. **Not all elements in the following guidelines apply to all organisms to be contained and the guidelines are guidelines not regulations**.

- Containment Guidelines for Educational Displays of Adult, Butterflies and Moths (Lepidoptera)
- Containment Facility Guidelines for Noxious Weeds and Parasitic Plants 1
- Containment Guidelines for Non-Indigenous, Phytophagous Arthropods and Their Parasitoids and Predators
- Containment Guidelines for the Receipt, Rearing and Display of Non-Indigenous Arthropods in Zoos, Museums, and Other Public Displays 72
- Containment Guidelines for Plant Pathogenic Nematodes 12
- Containment Guidelines for Non-Indigenous Snails 100 million
- Containment Guidelines for Plant Pathogenic Bacteria 📆
- Containment Facility Guidelines for Viral Plant Pathogens and Their Vectors 📆
- Containment Facility Guidelines for Fungal Plant Pathogens



CDC Import Permit Inspection Checklist for Arthropod Containment Level 2 (ACL-2)

Entity Name:	Inspection Date:	
Street Address:		
City, State, Zip:		
Lead Inspector:		
Other Inspectors:		
Building/Room(s):		
PI(s):		

Reference Statemen CFR: 71.54 (b) Unless ex United St CFR: 71.54 (b)(1)	nt xcluded pursuant to paragraph (f) of this section, a person may not tates any infectious biological agent, infectious substance or vecto	Yes impor	No	N/A	Comments	
CFR: 71.54 (b) Unless ev United St CFR: 71.54 (b)(1) It is accord	xcluded pursuant to paragraph (f) of this section, a person may not tates any infectious biological agent, infectious substance or vector	impor	Links 4			
CFR: 71.54 (b)(1) It is accord		CFR: 71.54 (b) Unless excluded pursuant to paragraph (f) of this section, a person may not import into the United States any infectious biological agent, infectious substance or vector unless:				
by CDC d Departme production	mpanied by a permit issued by CDC. The possession of a permit issued loes not satisfy permitting requirements placed on materials by the U.S. ent of Agriculture that may pose hazards to agriculture or agricultural n in addition to hazards to human health.					
CFR: 71.54 (b)(2) The imporrequirement	rter takes measures to help ensure the shipper complies with all permit ents and conditions.					
CFR: 71.54 (b)(3) The import hazard port vector to b	rter has implemented biosafety measures commensurate with the osed by the infectious biological agent, infectious substance, and/or be imported, and the level of risk given its intended use.					
OFP. 74 F4 (b)(4) The image	ales la la secondia en cuille all availle de la colore cuier acesta accordance					

USDA Containment Facility Inspections [https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/planthealth/import-information/permits/plant-

pests/containment

CDC ACL-2 Inspection Checklist [https://www.cdc.gov/cpr/ipp/inspection/index.htm]

What tools can I use for my risk assessment?

Arthropod Containment Guidelines





UC San Diego Gen Drive Containment Plan [<u>https://blink.ucsd.edu/sponsor/EHS/forms-ehs/containment-plan-gene-drives.html</u>]

Arthropod Containment Guidelines [<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6396570/]</u> EU Horizon 2020 Guidelines for CL2/3 [<u>https://infravec2.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/Insectary-</u> Design-Infravec-2-Final-Version-1.1-2.pdf] Researcher proposes to use CRISPR/Cas9 MCR gene drive tool in germline cells of a live *Aedes aegyptii* at Arthropod Containment Level 2 (ACL-2)



Is that dirt or a Mosquito?





How do you capture all these swimming pupae if the container spills?



What mesh size contains the flying stage?







Will the mesh cover on the Exhaust register clog with dirt?



Do you need an Effluent Decontamination System (EDS)?

















Diff. Pressure Monitors















MORE MOSQUITOES ACL-2



What will work for your Institution?

References:

Akbari, O. S. et al. Safeguarding gene drive experiments in the laboratory. Science 349, 927–929 (2015).

American Committee Of Medical Entomology American Society Of Tropical Medicine And Hygiene. Arthropod Containment Guidelines, Version 3.2. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis*. 2019;19(3):152–173. doi:10.1089/vbz.2018.2431

Committee on Gene Drive Research in Non-Human Organisms: Recommendations for Responsible Conduct; Board on Life Sciences; Division on Earth and Life Studies; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Gene Drives on the Horizon: Advancing Science, Navigating Uncertainty, and Aligning Research with Public Values (The National Academies Press, Washington D.C., 2016).

Gaj T, Gersbach CA, Barbas CF 3rd. ZFN, TALEN, and CRISPR/Cas-based methods for genome engineering. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2013;31(7):397–405. doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2013.04.004

Gantz, V. M. & Bier, E. The mutagenic chain reaction: a method for converting heterozygous to homozygous mutations. Science 348, 442–444 (2015).

Gilliland WD, May DP, Colwell EM, Kennison JA. A Simplified Strategy for Introducing Genetic Variants into *Drosophila* Compound Autosome Stocks. *G3* (*Bethesda*). 2016;6(11):3749–3755. Published 2016 Nov 8. doi:10.1534/g3.116.035634

Guichard, A., et al. Efficient Allelic-Drive in Drosophila. Nature Communications. 10-1640 (2019)

Hammond, A. et al. A CRISPR-Cas9 gene drive system targeting female reproduction in the malaria mosquito vector Anopheles gambiae. Nat. Biotechnol. 34, 78–83 (2016).

Komor, A. C., Badran, A. H. & Liu, D. R. CRISPR-based technologies for the manipulation of eukaryotic genomes. Cell 168, 20–36 (2017).

Waryah C.B., Moses C., Arooj M., Blancafort P. (2018) Zinc Fingers, TALEs, and CRISPR Systems: A Comparison of Tools for Epigenome Editing. In: Jeltsch A., Rots M. (eds) Epigenome Editing. Methods in Molecular Biology, vol 1767. Humana Press, New York, NY



Acknowledgements:

Lance Scott - EH&S Research Safety Manager, UC San Diego John Bauer - Assistant Dean in the Division of Biology, UC San Diego Scott Asbach - Department Safety Officer, UC San Diego Le'Kneitah Smith - Associate Biosafety Officer, UC Riverside Manali Mehta - Associate Biosafety Officer, UC Riverside

